

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7106 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.PANDIT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? Yes
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? No

J

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? No
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? No
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?  
No

-----  
BHARATKUMAR BHAGWANDAS

BRAMBHATT

Versus

G S R T C  
-----

Appearance:

MR RV DESAI for Petitioner

MRS VASAVDATTA BHATT for Respondent No. 1

MR DA BAMBHANIA for Respondent No. 2  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.PANDIT

Date of decision: 04/08/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr. Vasavdatta Bhatt, learned advocate for the respondent no.1 waives service of rule.

2. This petition is filed by the petitioner-workman seeking the implementation of the order passed in Reference No.717 of 1987.

3. The respondent has contested this petition by filing affidavit-in-reply contending that the petitioner should be delegated to approach the Labour authorities under section 33(c). It is further contended that there are question of interpreting the terms of the award, but, I do not find favour the said contention raised by the respondent. The respondent-State Corporation is a Public Corporation undertaking run by the State Government, it is expected that the respondent should behave like an ideal employer. Therefore, I hereby direct the respondent to implement as per his own interpretation the terms of the award within 8 weeks from today. After the said interpretation and implementation of the award by the respondent, if any grievance of the petitioner remain, he would be at liberty to take necessary action according to law.

4. With these observations, rule is made absolute with no order as to costs.

\*\*\*\*\*

syed\*